

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,176	01/29/2001	James A. Proctor JR.	2479.2071-000	1093
21005	7590 10/12/2004		EXAM	INER
HAMILTON	N, BROOK, SMITH &	CHANG, I	EDITH M	
P.O. BOX 91			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD,	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		2637	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/772,176	PROCTOR, JAMES A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edith M Chang	2637
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters,	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. brection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appli priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No reived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		

Application/Control Number: 09/772,176

Art Unit: 2637

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on July 28, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Cheng using the energy to derive an estimate of the speed of the mobile unit relative to the base station is quiet different from Applicant's use of modulation attributes.

The energy is represented by amplitude squared; therefore energy is the modulation attribute if the amplitude is the modulation attribute. In FIG.4 and Abstract, Cheng discloses the AGC (automatic gain control) providing the metric as the function of a change in the amplitude in term as the function of a change in the energy in light of the specification (page 4 lines 10-15). The energy used to derive the speed of the mobile unit relative to the base station is indicative of the changing environment, in light of the specification (page 4 lines 11-12) that the motion of the mobile is indicated for the rapid changing. Hence, Cheng discloses the metric indicative of a changing environment as a function of a change in *at least one* modulation attribute *as cited in the claims*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/772,176

Art Unit: 2637

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-8, 11, 14, 18-28, 31, 34 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheng et al. (US 5438595).

Regarding claims 1-2, 21-22 & 41, Cheng et al. discloses the apparatus and its method in A communication system having a signaling path in a wireless link between a first station and a second station (Abstract, FIG.1, the first station is the stationary unit/base station and the second station is the mobile, column 2 lines 40-43), comprising: in at least one station, a processing unit/means (FIG.1 24) to calculating a metric (FIG.4 AFC, AGC/FIG.9 sampling phase adjust) indicative of a changing environment between the first and second stations as a function of a change in at least one modulation attribute of a signal transmitted across the wireless link (column 2 lines 21-27 & lines 38-43, where the energy is measured at the mobile station to estimate the speed of the mobile unit); and a compensator/means (FIG.4 74/76 AFC/AGC) to adjust a least one parameter (FIG.4 AFC frequency/AGC amplitude) affecting the performance of the wireless link to compensate for the changes affecting the signaling path.

Regarding claims 3-4 & 23-24, Cheng et al. discloses the metric is indicative of motion of at least one of the stations (column 2 lines 21-27 & lines 38-43, where the energy is measured at the mobile station to estimate the speed of the mobile unit)/objects in the signaling path (column 1 lines 60-65, column 13 lines 55-58, where the ISI of the signal is caused by the motion of objects in the signaling path that changes the multipath).

Regarding **claims 5-7 & 25-27**, Cheng et al. discloses the metric is computed from a signal in an Automatic Gain Control loop (FIG.4 76 AGC loop), the metric is a function of a statistic/variance of the signal in the AGC loop (column 13 lines 5-55).

Application/Control Number: 09/772,176

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claims 8 & 28, Cheng et al. discloses the metric is computed from a phase error signal produced by a matched filter in a receiver unit in one of the station (FIG.9 the Sampling Phase Adj. Signal).

Regarding claims 11 & 31, Cheng et al. discloses the metric is computed from a frequency error signal in a frequency control loop in a receiver unit in one of the stations (FIG.4 AFC, column 10 lines 50-55).

Regarding claims 14 & 34, Cheng et al. discloses comparing the metric to a threshold level (106/112 FIG.7, column 14 lines 36-40).

Regarding claims 18 & 38, Cheng et al. discloses the parameter including at least the power level and the modulation attribute (FIG.4 76 AGC adjusting the amplitude and power).

Regarding **claims 19 & 39**, Cheng et al. discloses reducing the frequency offset/modulation attribute to a minimum level (column 9 lines 20-30, column 10 lines 36-38, lines 50-55, where the frequency offset/error is reduced to a minimum level as designed).

Regarding claims 20 & 40, Cheng et al. discloses the modulation attribute including amplitude, frequency, phase, or combination thereof (FIG.4).

Regarding claim 42, Cheng et al. discloses a computer-readable medium (FIG.1 24/26/28, column 3 line 67-column 4 line 44, where ROM DSP is the computer-readable medium) having stored sequences of instructions of which when executed by a processor (FIG.1 22-32, the DSPs and FPGA), causes the processor to perform in at least one station, a processing unit/means (FIG.1 24) to calculating a metric (FIG.4 AFC, AGC/FIG.9 sampling phase adjust) indicative of a changing environment between the first and second stations as a function of a change in at least one modulation attribute of a signal transmitted across the wireless link

Application/Control Number: 09/772,176 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

(column 2 lines 21-27 & lines 38-43, where the energy is measured at the mobile station to estimate the speed of the mobile unit); and a compensator/means (FIG.4 74/76 AFC/AGC) to adjust a least one parameter (FIG.4 AFC/AGC) affecting the performance of the wireless link to compensate for the changes affecting the signaling path.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10, 12-13, 15-17, 29-30, 32-33 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al. (US 5438595) in view of Thomas (US 6697642 B1).

Regarding claims 15-17 & 35-37, Cheng et al. does not specify the antenna mode, however Thomas teaches changing an antenna mode from directive to omni-directional or from omni-directional to directive (FIG.5/FIG.7-8, column 2 lines 19-24, column 10 lines 12-30, column 16 lines 38-42). As Cheng et al. measuring the mobile's moving to improve the accuracy of the received signal (column 1 lines 5-15 '595) and Thomas teaches using the mobile' moving to control the antenna mode to get more accurate received signal (column 17 lines 40-55 '642), at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the antenna mode taught by Thomas in Cheng et al.'s wireless station to search the optimum signal direction by control the antenna mode to get the optimum

Application/Control Number: 09/772,176 Page 6

Art Unit: 2637

signal/communications quality to improve the transmission rate (column 1 line 60-column 2 line5, column 8 lines 3-15).

Regarding **claims 9-10, 12-13, 29-30** & **32-33**, Cheng et al. discloses frequency/phase error signal is a statistic function (FIG. 10), but does not explicitly specify the frequency/phase error signal is the variance of the statistic function, however Thomas teaches the signal quality measurement (FIG. 4 514, column 8 lines 3-13). As Cheng et al. measuring the quality of the signal (95 FIG. 5, column 6 lines 21-26, measuring the RSSI) and Thomas teaches the signal quality level measuring unit which may determine signal quality by giving a RSSI and the signal to noise ration (column 8 lines 3-13), at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the control unit of measuring the quality of the signal taught by Thomas in the Cheng et al.'s demodulator (80 FIG.4 '595) to have the signal to noise ratio as well to provide various controls to get more accurate/qualified signal (FIG. 8, column 8 lines 14-15, column 16 lines 21-32). The signal to noise ration (SNR) is provided prevailingly as a measurement of the quality of signal in the wireless communication system, wherein the frequency/phase error signal is the variance of the statistic function in the SNR measurement.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2637

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang October 7, 2004 YOUNG/T. TSE PRIMARY EXAMINER